

REAL WORLD TESTING RESULTS REPORT

BACKGROUND & INSTRUCTIONS

Under the ONC Health IT Certification Program (Certification Program), health IT developers are required to conduct Real World Testing of their certified health IT (45 CFR 170.405). The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) issues Real World Testing resources to clarify health IT developers' responsibilities for conducting Real World Testing, to identify topics and specific elements of Real World Testing that ONC considers a priority, and to assist health IT developers in developing their Real World Testing plans and results reports.

[A Real World Testing plan template](#) was created to assist health IT developers in organizing the required information that must be submitted for each element in their Real World Testing plan. To accompany the plan template, ONC has also provided this results report template. While the use of this template is voluntary, health IT developers may find it useful in preparing their Real World Testing results report(s). Health IT developers must submit one year of results to address the Real World Testing of eligible products as outlined in their previous year's Real World Testing plan(s). If adjustments to approaches are made throughout Real World Testing, the health IT developer should reflect these adjustments in their Real World Testing results report. ONC expects that the results report will include a list of these changes, the reasons for them, and how intended outcomes were more efficiently met as a result.

While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of restatements of 45 CFR Part 170, this template is not a legal document. The official program requirements are contained in the relevant laws and regulations. This resource should be read and understood in conjunction with the following companion resources, which describe in detail many of the Certification Program requirements referenced in this resource.

- [Real World Testing–What It Means for Health IT Developers – Fact Sheet](#)
- [Real World Testing Resource Guide](#)
- [Real World Testing Certification Companion Guide](#)

Health IT developers should also review the following regulatory materials, which establish the core requirements and responsibilities for Real World Testing under the Certification Program.

- 21st Century Cures Act: Interoperability, Information Blocking, and the ONC Health IT Certification Program final rule, [85 FR 25642](#) (May 1, 2020) (**ONC Cures Act Final Rule**)
 - [Section VII.B.5](#) — “Real World Testing”
- Health Data, Technology, and Interoperability: Certification Program Updates, Algorithm Transparency, and Information Sharing Final Rule, [89 FR 1192](#) (March 11, 2024) (**HTI-1 Final Rule**)
 - [Section III.E](#) — “Real World Testing”

TEMPLATE INSTRUCTIONS

The following template is organized by elements required to be submitted in the Real World Testing results report. Each section provides a field for submitting responses and/or explanations for how the health IT developer addressed each required element in their Real World Testing approach. These fields serve as a foundation of information required for developing a Real World Testing results report and can be expanded



with additional rows or columns to address the specific needs of the Real World Testing results being submitted.

GENERAL INFORMATION

Plan Report ID Number: [For ONC-Authorized Certification Body use only]

Developer Name: [Navigating Cancer, LLC](#)

Product Name(s): [Navigating Care](#)

Version Number(s): [7.0](#)

Certified Health IT Product List (CHPL) ID(s): [15.04.04.2034.Navi.07.01.1.221213](#)

Developer Real World Testing Plan Page URL: <https://www.navigatingcancer.com/requirements-incentives/>

Developer Real World Testing Results Report Page URL [if different from above]:

Related ICS Versions of Product (if not included in original plan):

[OPTIONAL] CHANGES TO ORIGINAL PLAN

If a developer has made any changes to their approach for Real World Testing that differs from what was outlined in their plan, note these changes here.

Summary of Change [Summarize each element that changed between the plan and actual execution of Real World Testing]	Reason [Describe the reason this change occurred]	Impact [Describe what impact this change had on the execution of your Real World Testing activities]
Real World Test Measure #1 Removed reporting on Real World Test Results for (e)(1)	ASTP/ONC issued an Enforcement Discretion that only (g)(7,9,10) are required to be reported upon.	Changed how Navigating Care reported results for (e)(1)
Real World Test Measure #4 Removed reporting on Real World Test Results for (b)(10)	ASTP/ONC issued an Enforcement Discretion that only (g)(7,9,10) are required to be reported upon.	Changed how Navigating Care reported results for (b)(10)

[IF APPLICABLE] ICS PRODUCT(S)

If a developer chose to utilize inherited certified status (ICS) for a product originally outlined in their Real World Testing plan, the ICS products must be included in Real World Testing if the originating listing is withdrawn following ICS certification.

ICS Products	
Product Name(s):	



Version Number(s):	
CHPL ID(s):	
Date(s) of ICS Certification:	

[IF APPLICABLE] WITHDRAWN PRODUCT(S)

If a developer withdrew any products within the past year that were previously included in their Real World Testing plan, please provide the following information.

Withdrawn Products	
Product Name(s):	
Version Number(s):	
CHPL ID(s):	
Date(s) Withdrawn:	
Inclusion of Data in Results Report: [Provide a statement as to whether any data was captured on the withdrawn products. If so, this data should be identified in the results report.]	

SUMMARY OF TESTING METHODS AND KEY FINDINGS

Provide a summary of the Real World Testing methods deployed to demonstrate real-world interoperability, including any challenges or lessons learned from the chosen approach. Summarize how the results that will be shared in this report demonstrate real-world interoperability.

If any non-conformities were discovered and reported to the ONC-ACB during testing, outline these incidences and how they were addressed.

Note: A single Real World Testing results report may address multiple products and certification criteria for multiple care settings.

Navigating Cancer's Real World Testing involved auditing our CEHRT and observing usage of the patient portal and the APIs. In instances in which we had low or zero usage of certain functionality we tested the feature using demo data to ensure its functionality. Results of each test are shared in the pages below.

Third parties engaging with Navigating Care find most interest in Navigating Care data sourced from EHR vendors, and subsequently they express interest in working with EHR vendors directly.

Navigating Cancer continually distributes invitations for patient portal registrations in efforts to indirectly encourage patients to further promote greater interoperability of their health data with third party solutions.

STANDARDS VERSION ADVANCEMENT PROCESS (SVAP) STANDARDS UPDATES

Voluntary standards updates must be addressed in the Real World Testing results report. Real World Testing results reports must include all certified health IT updated to newer versions of standards prior to August 31 of the year in which the updates were made for the submitted plan.

Indicate as to whether voluntary SVAP standards are leveraged as part of the certification of your health IT product(s).

Yes, I have products certified with voluntary SVAP standards. (If yes, please complete the table below.

No, none of my products include these voluntary standards.

Standard (and version)	
Updated certification criteria and associated product	
Health IT Module CHPL ID	
Date of ONC-ACB notification	
Date of customer notification	
Conformance method and measurement/metric(s)	

Care Setting(s)

The expectation is that a developer's Real World Testing is conducted within each type of clinical setting in which their certified health IT is marketed. Health IT developers are not required to test their certified health IT in every setting in which it is marketed for use.

Navigating Cancer only provides software in ambulatory settings for community oncology practices.

Metrics and Outcomes

Health IT developers should detail outcomes from their testing that successfully demonstrate that the certified health IT:

1. is compliant with the certification criteria, including the required technical standards and vocabulary codes sets;
2. is exchanging electronic health information (EHI) in the care and practice settings for which it is marketed for use; and/or,
3. EHI is received by and used in the certified health IT.

(from 85 FR 25766)

Health IT developers could also detail outcomes that did not result from their measurement approach if that better describes their efforts.

Within this section, health IT developers should also describe how the specific data collected from their Real World Testing measures demonstrate their results. Where possible, context should be provided to the measures and results to understand the number of sites/users/transactions tested for the specified measures (i.e., the denominator for comparison to the reported results). If applicable, any Relied Upon Software that is used to meet a criterion's requirements should be included in this section.

Measurement/ Metric	Associated Criterion(a)	Relied Upon Software (if applicable)	Outcomes	Challenges Encountered (if applicable)
RWT Measure #2. Number of applications/third party systems using API capabilities: This measure metric is counting how many different systems or applications are connecting to the portal via the API.	170.315(g)(10)	Health Samurai Aidbox	<p>Number of systems or applications connecting to the portal via API in production: 0</p> <p>Number of systems or applications current under development with third parties in 2025: 2</p> <p>In 2025 there were two vendors that reached out to NC inquiring about access to our G10 APIs for patient access. Our team met with both vendors and reviewed our documentation with them. These vendors signed our API agreement and in turn we provided them with access to our developer environment. Navigating Care provided one vendor video instructions and a working demo application to aid in their development efforts.</p> <p>We routinely monitor our G10 developer environment for development activity and have automated email notifications when developers submit their applications for our review. We also demoed how to gain testing our APIs through an API client as described in our public-facing documentation.</p>	



			<p>In 3Q 2025 and 4Q 2025, we verified that our solutions work as intended as required for certification by manually testing our APIs through an API client as described in our public-facing documentation.</p> <p>Number of systems or applications current under development with third parties in 2025: 2 ; Success rate engaging: 100%; Currently in production: 0%</p>	
<p>RWT Measure #3. Compliance of API Resource Query Support This measure is tracking compliance of the Patient Portal Module criteria functionality of support of API query of patient data resources.</p>	<p>170.315(g)(7), (g)(9) and (g)(10)</p>	<p>Health Samurai Aidbox for (g)(10), Swagger UI 2.2.5</p>	<p>No 3rd party vendors have fully gone through the process of obtaining access to our APIs or deploying SMART applications within our G10 environment so in order to test these features NC used several methods including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. An open-source SMART application deployed in our G10 environment that we grant access to test patient data as part of our integration tests. 2. The Inferno test kit (https://inferno.healthit.gov/test-kits/g10-certification/) is used for ongoing certification requirements and was most recently tested in 4Q 2025 for USCDI v3 attestation requirements. 3. Manually testing our API's through an API client as described in our public-facing documentation <p>Ability to connect to the Patient Portal API and query patient clinical data through the API successfully run on test patient.</p>	

			<p>Ability to connect to the Patient Portal API and query the patient's CCDA through the API successfully run on test patient.</p> <p>CCDAs were validated using the ONC's CCDA validation tool (i.e. https://site.healthit.gov/c-cda/uscdi-v3)</p> <p>The CCDA validator tool was ran 51 times until 100% success was achieved with no errors across the test data/personas.</p> <p>The Interno Test Framework was ran 15 times (related to (g)(10) specifically) until 100% success was achieved with no errors across the test data/personas.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

KEY MILESTONES

Include a list of key milestones that were met during the Real World Testing process. Include details on how and when the developer implemented measures and collected data. Key milestones should be relevant and directly related to outcomes discussed.

For each key milestone, describe when Real World Testing began in specific care settings and the date/timeframe during which data was collected.

Key Milestone	Care Setting	Date/Timeframe
Identify team of individuals responsible for 2025 Real World Test Planning, Implementation and Reporting. Commence team meetings.	Oncology Clinic	1Q 2025
Audit API usage and test ability to connect to patient portal API.	Oncology Clinic	1Q 2026
Create 2026 RWT Plan	Oncology Clinic	3Q 2025



Draft and Submit 2025 RWT Report; Review data collected for RWT Report.	Oncology Clinic	1Q 2026
---	-----------------	---------

Authorized Representative: Michael Graff

Authorized Representative Email: mgraff@navigatingcancer.com

Authorized Representative Phone: 1.800.925.4456

Signature:

Date: February 11th, 2026